

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,094 1		12/27/2001	Sekharipuram R. Narayanan	06816-044003	2464
20985	7590	12/01/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & R		SON, PC	TSANG FOSTER, SUSY'N		
P.O. BOX 1 MINNEAP		55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1745	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/034,094	NARAYANAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susy N. Tsang-Foster	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perional for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution and the set of the set	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims		·	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-25 is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the left.	ccepted or b) objected to by the se drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/12/2005 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed on 9/12/2005. Claims 1 and 26 have been amended. Claims 1-26 are pending. Claims 7-25 are withdrawn from further consideration as being directed to nonelected species. Claims 1-6, and 26 are rejected for reasons given below.

Specification

3. The amendment filed 9/12/2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

In paragraph 69 on page 18, starting at line 16, adding the phrase "into the catalyst material" in the first sentence constitutes new matter. Also in paragraph 69, adding the phrase "can also be used as the substance insoluble in the catalyst material" constitutes new matter.

Art Unit: 1745

The original specification at paragraph 69 is limited to temporary introduction of a substance insoluble in the <u>catalyst</u>, not the <u>catalyst material</u> which comprises the <u>catalyst</u> and other components such as binder. In addition, the original specification at paragraph 69 states that the insoluble substance in the <u>catalyst</u> can be a surface active substance which will prevent particle agglomeration and can be volatilized at a higher temperature and does not disclose that the insoluble substance is in the <u>catalyst material</u>.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-6 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

With respect to instant claim 1 and its elected dependent claims, the original disclosure does not support the limitation of introducing a substance in the <u>catalyst material</u>, wherein the substance is insoluble in the <u>catalyst material</u> and subsequently removing the insoluble substance from the <u>catalyst material</u> to increase a surface area of the <u>catalyst</u> compared to a surface area of the <u>catalyst</u> in the <u>catalyst material</u> without introducing and removing the substance.

Art Unit: 1745

Paragraph 69 at page 18 of the instant original specification states:

[0 0 6 9] (1) Temporary introduction of a substance insoluble in the catalyst and removable by subsequent leaching may be used to increase the surface area of the catalyst. Such a substance can be a surface active substance which will prevent particle agglomeration and can be volatilized at a higher temperature. Non-ionic surfactants may be preferable. Metals such as zinc, aluminum, or tin incorporated in the catalyst during preparation can also serve this function.

The use of the term "catalyst" and "catalyst material" appears to mean two different things in the present specification and in the claims. The Examiner is interpreting the term "catalyst material" to mean a catalyst mixture comprising at least a catalyst and a binder in light of claim 2 and applicant's specification. Nowhere in paragraph 69 in the original specification does it state that the insoluble substance is introduced into the catalyst material.

Original paragraph 69 states that the insoluble substance is temporarily introduced in the catalyst.

With respect to claim 26, the limitations of "preparing a catalyst material to comprises a catalyst and at least a metal of zinc, aluminum, or tin which is insoluble; and subsequently removing the metal from the catalyst material by leaching to increase a surface area of the catalyst compared to a surface area of the catalyst without removing the metal" are not in the original disclosure for the same reasons given above.

Art Unit: 1745

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1-6 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 26, the limitations of "preparing a catalyst material to comprises a catalyst and at least a metal of zinc, aluminum, or tin which is insoluble; and subsequently removing the metal from the catalyst material by leaching to increase a surface area of the catalyst compared to a surface area of the catalyst without removing the metal" are indefinite because it is unclear how the surface area of the <u>catalyst</u> is increased by leaching the metal from the <u>catalyst material</u> since the <u>catalyst material</u> is a mixture of several components such as <u>catalyst</u>, perfluorovinylether sulfonic acid and polytetrafluoroethylene (see paragraph 14 of the specification and instant claim 2).

Applicant states on page 9 of the remarks that the mixing of zinc, aluminum, and tin in other materials and leaching them out are known in the art. It is unclear to the Examiner how mixing in zinc, aluminum, and tin into a <u>catalyst material</u> comprising a <u>catalyst</u>, a binder, and perfluorovinylether sulfonic acid and subsequently leaching of zinc, aluminum, and tin would create voids in the <u>catalyst</u> since mixing of the metals (zinc, tin, aluminum) into the <u>catalyst</u> material only creates a physical association of the metals with the <u>catalyst</u> and not a chemical one. Since the insoluble substances are not introduced into the <u>catalyst</u> in the <u>catalyst material</u> by mixing, they would not be able to create voids in the <u>catalyst</u> itself after the step of removing

Art Unit: 1745

the insoluble substance contrary to applicant's assertions on page 10 of the remarks filed on 9/12/2005.

Claims 1-6 are also indefinite for the same reason given above for claim 26 because it is unclear how the surface area of a <u>catalyst</u> is increased by removing an insoluble substance from a <u>catalyst material</u>.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 9/12/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant appears to misunderstand that the terms "catalyst material" and "catalyst" are distinct as presently used in the specification and in the instant claims.

The specification discloses <u>increasing the surface area of the catalyst</u>, and does not disclose increasing the surface area of the <u>catalyst material</u> which comprises <u>catalyst</u>, binder, and other components used in a catalyst layer of the fuel cell electrode.

Applicant asserts in the remarks filed on 9/12/2005 that the word "catalyst" in line 22 on page 18 should be "catalyst material" when reading the paragraph as a whole. The Examiner disagrees since as evidenced by instant claim 4, the <u>catalyst</u> comprises platinum and ruthenium. The <u>catalyst</u> is a metal or metal alloy, whereas a <u>catalyst material</u> is a mixture of substances that includes binder, <u>catalyst</u>, and perfluorovinylether sulfonic acid as disclosed and claimed. The Examiner also disagrees with applicant's interpretation of paragraph 69 on page 18 because this interpretation does not appear to make any sense since it is unclear how the insoluble substance

Art Unit: 1745

would be introduced into the <u>catalyst material</u> and selectively be leached to create voids in the <u>catalyst</u>. As admitted by applicant on page 9 of the remarks filed on 9/12/2005, the <u>catalyst</u> <u>material</u> is a mixture of the <u>catalyst</u> and other materials.

Applicant also asserts without providing any evidence on page 9 that specific methods of putting the insoluble substance into the <u>catalyst material</u> and that methods for leaching the metal zinc, aluminum, or tin out of a <u>material</u>, and method of removing non-ionic surfactant by heating at an elevated temperature are known in the art. Applicant asserts that it is well established that a patent need not teach and preferably omits what is well known in the art on page 9 of the remarks. It appears that applicant is admitting on page 9 of the arguments the putting an insoluble substance into the <u>catalyst material</u> and subsequent removable of the insoluble substance from the catalyst <u>material</u> is well known in the art.

However, applicant's assertion is moot because the comparison in the claims is between the surface area of a <u>catalyst</u> with increased surface area by removing the insoluble substance introduced therein and the surface area of a <u>catalyst</u> not having any insoluble substance introduced therein, not between the surface area of a <u>catalyst material</u> which is a mixture of materials that has been treated with the insoluble substance and the surface area of a <u>catalyst</u> material that has not been treated with the insoluble substance. Nevertheless, for reasons stated in the previous Office Action, the original disclosure is not enabled for temporarily introducing an insoluble substance in a <u>catalyst</u> that is already formed in order to compare its increased surface area with a formed <u>catalyst</u> having no insoluble substance temporarily introduced.

Art Unit: 1745

As mentioned above, applicant states on page 9 of the remarks that the mixing of zinc, aluminum, and tin in other materials and leaching them out are known in the art. It is unclear to the Examiner how mixing in zinc, aluminum, and tin into a <u>catalyst material</u> comprising a <u>catalyst</u>, a binder, and perfluorovinylether sulfonic acid and subsequently leaching of zinc, aluminum, and tin would create voids in the catalyst material since mixing of the metals (zinc, tin, aluminum) into the <u>catalyst material</u> only creates a physical association of the metals with the <u>catalyst</u> and not a chemical one. Since the insoluble substances are not introduced into the <u>catalyst</u> in the <u>catalyst material</u> by mixing, they would not be able to create voids in the <u>catalyst</u> itself after the step of removing the insoluble substance contrary to applicant's assertions on page 10 of the remarks filed on 9/12/2005.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to examiner Susy Tsang-Foster whose telephone number is (571) 272-1293. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached at (571) 272-1292.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 1745

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 9

/st

SUSYTSANG-FOSTER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Away Isang Tosta